

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

In Re:)	Bky No. 4-60106
)	
Daniel S. Miller,)	
)	
Debtor.)	
<hr/>)	
Daniel S. Miller,)	
)	Adversary No. 04-6105
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	DEFENDANT'S ANSWER TO
)	PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT
Nikle Farms, Inc.,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
<hr/>)	

Defendant, for its answer to Plaintiff's Complaint, states as follows:

1. Unless specifically admitted, Defendant denies each and every allegation in Plaintiff's Complaint.
2. Admits the allegations in Paragraphs 1 and 2 of Plaintiff's Complaint.
3. Admits the allegations in Paragraph 3 of Plaintiff's Complaint to the extent it is alleged therein that the Defendant is a corporate entity with a mailing address of 39258 180th Street SW, East Grand Forks, Minnesota 56721.
4. Admits the allegations in Paragraphs 4 and 5 of Plaintiff's Complaint.
5. Admits the allegations in Paragraph 6 of Plaintiff's Complaint to the extent it is alleged therein that the Defendant received payments totaling \$55,639.92 from the Plaintiff on various dates prior to February 3, 2004.

6. Admits the allegations in Paragraph 7 of Plaintiff's Complaint to the extent it is alleged therein that annexed as Exhibit A to the Complaint are copies of the Debtor's checks 22467, 22689, 22941, 23009, and 23016 totaling \$55,639.92 payable to the Defendant.

7. Admits the allegations in Paragraph 8 of Plaintiff's Complaint to the extent it is alleged therein that the payment referenced in Paragraph 6 of Plaintiff's Complaint was made with respect to debts owed by the Plaintiff to the Defendant.

8. Admits the allegations in Paragraph 9 of Plaintiff's Complaint.

9. Denies the allegations in Paragraph 10 of Plaintiff's Complaint.

10. Admits the allegations in Paragraph 11 of the Plaintiff's Complaint to the extent it is alleged therein that the payment referenced in Paragraph 6 of Plaintiff's Complaint was made prior to February 3, 2004.

11. Lacks sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraphs 12 and 13 of Plaintiff's Complaint and thus, denies the same placing Plaintiff on his strict proof in connection therewith.

12. Paragraph 14 states a legal conclusion rather than a statement of fact and thus, Defendant need neither admit nor deny the same.

13. Admits the allegations in Paragraph 15 of Plaintiff's Complaint to the extent it is alleged therein that the payments referenced in Paragraph 6 of Plaintiff's Complaint was made to the Defendant.

14. Specifically denies the allegations in Paragraphs 16 of Plaintiff's Complaint.
15. Paragraphs 17 though 20 of Plaintiff's Complaint state legal conclusions rather than allegations of fact and thus, Defendant need neither admit nor deny the same.
16. Plaintiff's Complaint fails to state a cause of action upon which relief can be granted.
17. Pending the completion of discovery, Plaintiff reserves all available affirmative defenses including those that must be specially plead under Rules 8 and/or 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and those provided under 11 U.S.C. § 547(c).
18. Defendant states that the transfers referred in Paragraph 6 of Plaintiff's Complaint was a contemporaneous exchange for value, an exchange for new value or that they were payments of debts incurred in the ordinary course of the business affairs of the Plaintiff and the Defendant. As a consequence, 11 U.S.C. § 547(c)(1), (2) and/or (4) preclude avoidance of the transfers.

WHEREFORE, Defendant prays for entry of judgment on Plaintiff's Complaint as follows:

1. For the dismissal of Plaintiff's Complaint with prejudice and the denial of any relief thereunder.
2. For its costs and disbursements incurred herein.
3. For such other and further relief as this Court deems just and equitable.

Dated this ____ day of October, 2004.

VOGEL LAW FIRM


By: _____
Jon R. Brakke #10765
218 NP Avenue
P.O. Box 1389
Fargo, ND 58107-1389
(701) 237-6983
ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT

DEMAND FOR A JURY TRIAL

To the extent any of the issues in this proceeding are triable to a jury, Defendant demands trial by jury of the maximum number of persons permitted by law.

Dated this 5 day of October, 2004.

VOGEL LAW FIRM

By:  _____
Jon R. Brakke #10765
218 NP Avenue
P.O. Box 1389
Fargo, ND 58107-1389
(701) 237-6983
ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT

STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA)
COUNTY OF CASS) ss

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE BY MAIL

On October 6, 2004, your affiant served the following documents:

- by placing true and correct copies in envelopes addressed as follows:

Lori Thrall
Lori Thrall

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 6 day of October, 2004.

Lynne Spach
Notary Public

